

Jacob Williams acting by Power of Attorney for Benjamin Johnson
against
George Smiley

Plff In Debt
Dft

On the motion of the plaintiff by his Attorney it is ordered that this suit be continued at his cost to the next quarterly Court.

Henry Melch
against
Thomas W. White

Plff In Debt
Dft

Plffs Costs
\$7.96
for app

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea said he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of forty six dollars and a fourth cents with legal interest thereon from the 23^d day of July 1819 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said Dft in Mercy &c.

Thomas Murren Attorney of Benjamin Murren dec^d for James A. Barham
against
Samuel Barham

Plff In Debt
Dft

Plffs Costs
\$7.96

This day came the parties by their Attorneys and the defendant withdrawing his former plea said he cannot gainsay the plaintiffs action. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of forty seven dollars with legal interest thereon from the 20th day of December 1814 till paid the debt and interest in the declaration mentioned and his costs by him about his suit in this behalf expended. And the said defendant in Mercy &c. This judgment is to be credited for \$13.10 cents paid Aug. 7th 1816 and \$10.24 cents paid 31st May 1817.

Lewis Calvert
against

Plff In Case
Dft

Henry Briggs Attorney with the will annexed of John Brichler dec^d

Plff In Case
Dft

Henry Melch
against

Plff In Case
Dft

Benjamin W. Johnson
against

Plff In Case
Dft

Nathaniel Edwards

The plaintiffs in these suits not further prosecuting therefore it is ordered that they be dismissed.

Jacob Saunders spec. of John Saunders
against

Plff In Debt
Dft

William Bowden Attorney of John Saunders dec^d

By consent of the parties it is ordered that this suit be dismissed.

John May
against

Plff In Case
Dft

Mary Ruttle

For reasons appearing to the Court this suit is continued till the next quarterly Court.

Richard W. Wells Esq. of Collin Kitchen dec^d for the benefit of David Wallance
against
William E. Daughtry

Plff In Debt
Dft

Plffs Costs
\$9.47

This day came as well the plaintiff by his Attorney as David Wallance the defendant by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine

dollars fifty two cents with debt in the declaration mentioned and his costs by him in Mercy &c.

Richard W. Wells Esq. for
against
William E. Daughtry

Plffs Costs
\$9.47

This day came as well the defendant by his Attorney as William E. Daughtry the plaintiff by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine

James Wells Attorney
against
Henry Melch and son

Plffs Costs
\$8.45

This day came as well the defendant by his Attorney as Henry Melch and son the plaintiff by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine

Henry Briggs spec.
against
John Ruttle and Melch

Costs \$8.45

This day came as well the defendant by his Attorney as John Ruttle and Melch the plaintiff by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine

Richard Parker for
against
William M. Allen

This day came as well the defendant by his Attorney as William M. Allen the plaintiff by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine

Henry Smith
against
Joseph Stephenson

Costs \$7.82

This day came as well the defendant by his Attorney as Joseph Stephenson the plaintiff by his Attorney and thereupon came a Jury to wit: Calvin Crossin, Nathaniel Newsum, Daniel Knight, John Smiley, Thomas E. Caskey, George Smiley, Jesse Parker, Alexander Booth, James Marks, John Washburn, Calvin Davis, & William E. Daughtry who being elected true and sworn the truth to speak upon the issue joined brought them into Court a verdict in the following words to wit: "We the Jury find for the plaintiff the debt in the declaration mentioned and also his damages to one cent." Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff recover against the said defendant the sum of thirty nine